

UNITED STATES Federal DISTRICT COURT
Worcester , MASSACHUSETTS

Steven Roland Drury
"Plaintiff"

VS

The New England Regional Council of Carpenters
Local 107, Thomas Harrington,
Art Sisko, Simon James, and Kennedy and Rossi Inc.

) Case Number:
) C.A.no.03-11422-mlw
)
)
)
) Emergence Motion
) for affidavit Indigence
) under the rule of FRCP
) File 4,
) Case 261 274-27C,

"Defendants"

1 , Plaintiff (presently) re-introduce form state record and evidence , sent
Documents to the court on record in files
this Motion under emergence due to the presents likelihood of irreparable injury to
plaintiff which continues to date by defendants Further , plaintiff wishes to perserve
the interlocutory nature of the documents filed

2

Now comes the plaintiff to demanding his constitutional rights , under common law
plaintiff understands he is to be in a Article 3 Common law court whereby plaintiff
perserving all his Constitutional rights plaintiff informs the court that Drury waves No
Rights and has not formally accepted jurisdiction of this federal court , due to defendants
moving said court to federal level drury demand proof of said jurisdiction over his person
and the acts , HoweverThe plaintiff request under the rules allowed for Indigence That
the court accept this affidavit included to wave all fees for summons and costs for the
hearing over the subject matter voluntary w-4 and SS# within his motion for hearing for
preliminary injunction upon the said defendants and signatorys under said NERCC
contracts and that the hearing address to whom under what law and that the defendant
show cause and there authority to withhold job refurrals and force signature on said

documents which may include or SS# due to such matters herein The plaintiff wish the court to supplie summons numbering four and a court date to re- issue such as the state lower level courts allowed in this case and to include all sent documents at said hearing to be held for the plaintiff defence and that bsuch be held to interlock with his case in civil court as a matter of law and proceedure

the plaintiff wishes and request such[see cohen Vs price commission, DCNY, 337 F. Subb 1236 1239] Plaintiff at the welm of the defendants who work in concert

are forgoing refurrals form the hall to signatory contractors prohibiting the plaintiff work within the union Plaintiff demands to be heard on this matter > and given time to enter more proof at lease 30 days after the ruling allowing this said hearing matter

The plaintiff is in fact an Indigent citizen of the Commonwealth of massachusetts republic and so states here under purjury laws that he is intitle to such waving or court cost and wishes the court to wave the said cost of summons and that summons be allowed to be given by reg mail return and that said green cards then be given to court for notice as allowed by statue The plaintiff request the court to move and set a date for such hearing after notice of summons have been collected and or return form defendants

Motion allowed _____ Motion Denied _____

Proof of Service

Steven R Drury, on this day of april 27 , 2004 now certify that I caused a true and correct copies of the forgoing to be sent ^{US mailed SR} on the above date here shown to , Holland & Knight , 10 James St., Boston MA 02116 and Krakow and Souris 255 Friend St Boston , MA 02114 and the Federal District Court, Boston MA, by USPS regular mail.

to the lawyers C/o Jean TO Connel and Christopher M. Sears of both lawyer firms herein stated . on this date 04/27/04

Steven Roland Drury

04/27/04